



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,355	09/829,355 04/09/2001		Varadarajan Srinivasan	P191/WLP	1055
25670	7590	01/06/2005		EXAMINER	
WILLIAM		•	KIM, HONG CHONG		
2686 MCAL	LISTER S	TREET	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1				ART ONT	TALER NOMBER
SAN FRANC	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94118 2186				

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/829,355	SRINIVASAN ET AL	<b></b>				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	· · · · · · ·				
	Hong C Kim	2186					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	. , ,		and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 1-20,23-28,39,40 and 42-58.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>33-35,37,38,67,68,71,72,80-84</u>	and 94-98.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29,30,32,36,59-62,64-66,69,70,73</u>	-79,89-93,99 and 100.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:							
<del></del>							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: It appeals that added limitations in claim 29 raise new issue and would require further consideration and search. Added limitations also raise 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph issue. Applicant's remarks that the references not teaching a plurality o rows of cam is not considered persuasive. Feldmeier discloses plurality of rows of cam (col. 8 lines 8-31, more than single matchs reads on this limitation).

HONG CHONG KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER